### Heaps and applications

K. N. Raghavan IMSc

06 June 2017 at ISI-BC

Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES

19th January to 16th March

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

Applications:

• Chapter 4: Linear algebra

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

- Chapter 4: Linear algebra
- Chapter 5: Algebraic graph theory

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

- Chapter 4: Linear algebra
- Chapter 5: Algebraic graph theory
- Chapter 6: Fully commutative elements in Coxeter groups

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

- Chapter 4: Linear algebra
- Chapter 5: Algebraic graph theory
- Chapter 6: Fully commutative elements in Coxeter groups
- Chapter 7: Physics: statistical mechanics, quantum gravity

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

- Chapter 4: Linear algebra
- Chapter 5: Algebraic graph theory
- Chapter 6: Fully commutative elements in Coxeter groups
- Chapter 7: Physics: statistical mechanics, quantum gravity
- many **Open questions** (all over the place)

#### Xavier Viennot: COMMUTATIONS AND HEAPS OF PIECES 19th January to 16th March

- Chapter 4: Linear algebra
- Chapter 5: Algebraic graph theory
- Chapter 6: Fully commutative elements in Coxeter groups
- Chapter 7: Physics: statistical mechanics, quantum gravity
- many **Open questions** (all over the place)

## We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps

We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps, or as Viennot would say, "by the philosophy of heaps".

## We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps, or as Viennot would say, "by the philosophy of heaps".

Stanley's theorem involves the *chromatic polynomial*.

We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps, or as Viennot would say, "by the philosophy of heaps". Stanley's theorem involves the *chromatic polynomial*.

We'll then discuss the *matching polynomial* of a graph.

- We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps, or as Viennot would say, "by the philosophy of heaps".
- Stanley's theorem involves the *chromatic polynomial*.
- We'll then discuss the *matching polynomial* of a graph. More specifically we'll discuss three properties of it (all in terms of heaps).

- We'll first derive a famous theorem (Stanley 1973) on colourings of graphs thinking in terms of heaps, or as Viennot would say, "by the philosophy of heaps".
- Stanley's theorem involves the *chromatic polynomial*.
- We'll then discuss the *matching polynomial* of a graph. More specifically we'll discuss three properties of it (all in terms of heaps).





















• At least 3 colours are required to colour the graph.



• At least 3 colours are required to colour the graph.

• Listed above are all the 6 different (exact) 3-colourings of the graph.



- At least 3 colours are required to colour the graph.
- Listed above are all the 6 different (exact) 3-colourings of the graph.
- The graph evidently has 24 different exact 4-colourings.



- At least 3 colours are required to colour the graph.
- Listed above are all the 6 different (exact) 3-colourings of the graph.
- The graph evidently has 24 different exact 4-colourings.



#### Suppose we are given $\lambda$ colours with which to colour the graph.

We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.



Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.





Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.

$$6 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} +$$



Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.

$$\mathbf{6} \times \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mathbf{3} \end{pmatrix} + 24 \times \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$



Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.

$$6 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 24 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings (colourings with at most  $\lambda$  colours) equals:

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)+\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)$$



Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.

$$6 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 24 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings (colourings with at most  $\lambda$  colours) equals:

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)+\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)$$

**Chromatic polynomial** 



Suppose we are given  $\lambda$  colours with which to colour the graph. We need to use at least 3 colours and can use at most 4 colours.

$$6 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 24 imes \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings (colourings with at most  $\lambda$  colours) equals:

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) + \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(\lambda-3)$$

**Chromatic polynomial** 

#### General formula for the chromatic polynomial

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ ,

#### General formula for the chromatic polynomial

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

#### General formula for the chromatic polynomial

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

where *n* denotes the number of vertices in the graph *G*,  $\gamma'_G(k)$  denotes the number of exact *k*-colourings of *G*.
The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

where *n* denotes the number of vertices in the graph *G*,  $\gamma'_G(k)$  denotes the number of exact *k*-colourings of *G*.

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

where *n* denotes the number of vertices in the graph *G*,  $\gamma'_G(k)$  denotes the number of exact *k*-colourings of *G*.

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

where *n* denotes the number of vertices in the graph *G*,  $\gamma'_G(k)$  denotes the number of exact *k*-colourings of *G*.

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

The number of  $\lambda$ -colourings of a graph G, denoted by  $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ , is given by:

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

where *n* denotes the number of vertices in the graph *G*,  $\gamma'_G(k)$  denotes the number of exact *k*-colourings of *G*.

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$

### What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$ ? !



### What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ ? !



# What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$ ? !

For 
$$\delta$$
, we've seen that  $\gamma_G(\lambda) = \boxed{6 \times \binom{\lambda}{3} + 24 \times \binom{\lambda}{4}}$   
 $\binom{\lambda}{3} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \qquad \binom{\lambda}{4} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}$   
 $\binom{-1}{3} = (-1)^3 = -1 \qquad \binom{-1}{4} = (-1)^4 = 1$ 

## What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$ ? !

For 
$$\beta$$
, we've seen that  $\gamma_G(\lambda) = \boxed{6 \times \binom{\lambda}{3} + 24 \times \binom{\lambda}{4}}$   
 $\binom{\lambda}{3} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \qquad \binom{\lambda}{4} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}$   
 $\binom{-1}{3} = (-1)^3 = -1 \qquad \binom{-1}{4} = (-1)^4 = 1$ 

We get:  $6 \times (-1) + 24 \times 1 = 18.$ 

### What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_G(\lambda)$ ? !

For 
$$\beta$$
, we've seen that  $\gamma_G(\lambda) = \boxed{6 \times \binom{\lambda}{3} + 24 \times \binom{\lambda}{4}}$   
 $\binom{\lambda}{3} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \qquad \binom{\lambda}{4} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}$   
 $\binom{-1}{3} = (-1)^3 = -1 \qquad \binom{-1}{4} = (-1)^4 = 1$ 

We get:  $6 \times (-1) + 24 \times 1 = 18$ .

Remarkably there are exactly 18 *acyclic orientations* of the above graph. Example of acyclic orientation:



### What happens if we put $\lambda = -1$ in $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$ ? !

For 
$$\beta$$
, we've seen that  $\gamma_G(\lambda) = \boxed{6 \times \binom{\lambda}{3} + 24 \times \binom{\lambda}{4}}$   
 $\binom{\lambda}{3} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \qquad \binom{\lambda}{4} = \frac{\lambda \cdot (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}$   
 $\binom{-1}{3} = (-1)^3 = -1 \qquad \binom{-1}{4} = (-1)^4 = 1$ 

We get:  $6 \times (-1) + 24 \times 1 = 18$ .

Remarkably there are exactly 18 *acyclic orientations* of the above graph. Example of acyclic orientation:



# Stanley's theorem (1973)

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k)(-1)^k = (-1)^{\# \text{ of vertices}} \ \# \text{ of acyclic orientations of } \mathcal{G}$$

Proof in terms of **heaps** follows.

# Stanley's theorem (1973)

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{G}}'(k) (-1)^k = (-1)^{\# \text{ of vertices}} \ \# \text{ of acyclic orientations of } \mathcal{G}$$

Proof in terms of heaps follows.



В XY Д



A layering is a partitioning of the vertices into layers of non-empty independent subsets stacked vertically.



A layering is a partitioning of the vertices into layers of non-empty independent subsets stacked vertically.

Exact k-colourings are layerings with k-layers.



A layering is a partitioning of the vertices into layers of non-empty independent subsets stacked vertically.

Exact k-colourings are layerings with k-layers.

## Colourings are layerings and determine acyclic orientations



## Two colourings may yield the same acyclic orientation



## colourings, layerings, acyclic orientations, and ....



### colourings, layerings, acyclic orientations, and heaps

# $\begin{array}{cc} \mathsf{colourings} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathsf{layerings} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{acyclic orientations} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathsf{(Multilinear) HEAPS} \end{array}$

(Multilinear) Heaps are layerings in which things fall until obstructed.



(Multilinear) Heaps are layerings in which things fall until obstructed.



(Multilinear) Heaps are layerings in which things fall until obstructed.



(Multilinear) Heaps are in bijection with acyclic orientations.

(Multilinear) Heaps are layerings in which things fall until obstructed.



(Multilinear) Heaps are in bijection with acyclic orientations.

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{-1}{k}$$

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{-1}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) (-1)^{k}$$

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) \binom{-1}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma'_{G}(k) (-1)^{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{k-\text{layerings}} (-1)^{k}$$

$$\gamma_{G}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{-1}{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) (-1)^{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{k-\text{layerings}} (-1)^{k}$$
$$\gamma_{G}(-1) = \sum_{\text{layerings}} (-1)^{\# \text{ of layers}}$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{G}(\lambda) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{-1}{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) (-1)^{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{k-\text{layerings}} (-1)^{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{\text{layerings}} (-1)^{\# \text{ of layers}} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{\text{nultilinear heaps } \mathcal{H}} \left( \sum_{\text{layerings of } \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{\# \text{ of layers}} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{G}(\lambda) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{\lambda}{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) \binom{-1}{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \gamma_{G}'(k) (-1)^{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{k-\text{layerings}} (-1)^{k} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{\text{layerings}} (-1)^{\# \text{ of layers}} \\ \gamma_{G}(-1) &= \sum_{\text{nultilinear heaps } \mathcal{H}} \left( \sum_{\text{layerings of } \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{\# \text{ of layers}} \right) \end{split}$$

Theorem



Theorem



Theorem

Signed sum of layerings of a (multilinear) heap equals  $(-1)^{\# \text{ of vertices}}$ .



 $\begin{array}{c} B\\ \text{The heap} \quad XY \quad \text{has three layerings:}\\ A \end{array}$ 

Theorem



Theorem



Theorem


#### Punch line of the proof

Theorem

Signed sum of layerings of a (multilinear) heap equals  $(-1)^{\# \text{ of vertices}}$ .



### Basic results on heaps

Inversion Lemma Paths Lemma

#### Basic results on heaps

#### Inversion Lemma Paths Lemma

Logarithmic Lemma

The **inversion lemma** delivers the punch (in the punch line above):

Inversion Lemma Paths Lemma Logarithmic Lemma

The inversion lemma delivers the punch (in the punch line above): It implies that the signed sum of layerings of a heap is  $(-1)^{\# \text{ of basic pieces in the heap}}$ 

Inversion Lemma Paths Lemma Logarithmic Lemma

The **inversion lemma** delivers the punch (in the punch line above): It implies that the signed sum of layerings of a heap is  $(-1)^{\# \text{ of basic pieces in the heap}}$ .

# More on the inversion lemma

generating function for heaps 
$$:= \sum_{heaps \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H}$$

generating function for heaps 
$$= \frac{1}{1+S}$$

where

1 + S := signed sum of trivial heaps

generating function for heaps 
$$:= \sum_{heaps \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H}$$

generating function for heaps 
$$= \frac{1}{1+S}$$

where

 $1 + \mathcal{S} \; := \;$  signed sum of trivial heaps

• All its roots are real.

- All its roots are real.
- If G is a tree with adjacency matrix A, then it equals det(I tA).

- All its roots are real.
- If G is a tree with adjacency matrix A, then it equals det(I tA). (Thus the first item follows from the Spectral Theorem when G is a tree.)

- All its roots are real.
- If G is a tree with adjacency matrix A, then it equals det(I tA). (Thus the first item follows from the Spectral Theorem when G is a tree.)
- It's the denominator in the inversion lemma for heaps of dimers on G.

- All its roots are real.
- If G is a tree with adjacency matrix A, then it equals det(I tA). (Thus the first item follows from the Spectral Theorem when G is a tree.)
- It's the denominator in the inversion lemma for heaps of dimers on G.

- All its roots are real.
- If G is a tree with adjacency matrix A, then it equals det(I tA). (Thus the first item follows from the Spectral Theorem when G is a tree.)
- It's the denominator in the inversion lemma for heaps of dimers on G.